

Deleted: <OBJECT><OBJECT><OBJECT> <OBJECT> 1 volunteer for speaking up in an attempt to prevent Multnomah County Animal 2 Services ("MCAS") from euthanizing Cloud, a year-and-a-half old poodle, then 3 housed at its shelter. 4 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 5 Plaintiff Monica Klein ("Klein") is, and has been at all relevant times, a resident of 6 Multnomah County, Oregon. 3. Defendant Multnomah County ("County") is, and has been at all relevant times, a 9 municipal corporation of the State of Oregon. <u>10</u> 11 Defendant Marian Cannell is and has at all times relevant to this action been 12 employed as Shelter Operations Manager for Multnomah County, with a primary place 13 of business located in Multnomah County, Oregon. 14 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS <u>15</u> 5. 16 Klein volunteered to work at the County's animal shelter beginning in February of <u>17</u> 2023. As a volunteer for MCAS, Klein provided care, feeding, enrichment, and <u>18</u> companionship to many of the animals held by the County's Animal Services <u> 19</u> department. <u> 20</u> 6. 21 Conditions at the County's shelter are bleak. A February 2023 Oregonian article 22 described the issues at defendant MCAS as "deep-rooted" and having persisted "for 23 Deleted: <OBJECT> Alan Lloyd Kessler 2725 SE 36th Ave. 2 - FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Portland, OR 97202 ak@alankessler.law (503) 860-1020

Deleted: <OBJECT><OBJECT><OBJECT> <OBJECT> years." According to the article, MCAS staff and volunteers attributed the inhumane 1 2 conditions at the shelter to "overcrowding, staffing shortages and consistent 3 indifference from management...." 7. 4 Deleted: 9 5 When Klein began volunteering, she soon became aware that the shelter is severely 6 understaffed, which results in dire conditions for animals in its care. She observed that 7 dogs are often held in reeking kennels for days without walks; that many animals were 8 given food only once per day; that some dogs lack access to water; and that during 9 summer months, animals suffer in oppressive heat without adequate climate control. <u>10</u> 8. 11 Aware that MCAS holds itself out as operating a "no-kill" shelter, Klein was 12 dismayed to learn that MCAS regularly euthanizes adoptable or treatable animals. 13 Moreover, Klein found that MCAS's public statements and reports about its use of 14 euthanasia were incongruent with its practices. 15 16 Disturbed by the killings, Klein researched the County's policies and code relating <u>17</u> to euthanasia. <u>18</u> 10. <u> 19</u> From her observations and research, Klein formed a reasonable belief that <u> 20</u> MCAS's euthanasia practices violated County code and policies. 21 23 Deleted: < OBJECT> Alan Lloyd Kessler 2725 SE 36th Ave. 3 - FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Portland, OR 97202 ak@alankessler.law (503) 860-1020

Deleted: <OBJECT><OBJECT><OBJECT> <OBJECT> 11. 2 Klein also developed a reasonable belief that the County was acting contrary to law 3 and/or policy by deceiving the public about its use of euthanasia. 4 12. 5 In late June or early July, Klein became aware that MCAS personnel had decided to Deleted: Monica 6 euthanize the poodle Cloud who had been recently returned to the shelter after a first 7 adoption was vetoed by the family cat, and a second was terminated because a landlord 8 objected to his reaction to a dog he saw through a sliding glass door. 9 13. <u>10</u> Cloud's adopting families both described him as affectionate and enjoying gentle 11 contact with people. The second adopter reported that he was already trained to sit 12 and lie down on command. 13 14. 14 Cloud was healthy and had no "bite history"—i.e., no known history of attacking <u>15</u> any person or animal. He was, to Klein's understanding, adoptable under County code 16 and policies. <u>17</u> 15. <u>18</u> Klein learned that MCAS had justified classifying Cloud as dangerous based on the <u> 19</u> second adopter's observation of the poodle reacting to the smaller dog. She reasonably <u> 20</u> believed that it would be a violation of the County's policies and code to classify Cloud 21 as dangerous based on that evidence. 23 Deleted: <OBJECT> Alan Lloyd Kessler 2725 SE 36th Ave. 4 - FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Portland, OR 97202 ak@alankessler.law (503) 860-1020

Deleted: <OBJECT><OBJECT><OBJECT> <OBJECT> 16. 2 Feeling affection for Cloud, and believing he was a good boy who could be adopted 3 and have a happy life, Klein first spoke with MCAS personnel asking for an opportunity to find Cloud a home. She was rebuffed. <u>4</u> 5 17. <u>6</u> Klein next posted a series of personal pleas from her personal accounts on social 7 media sites. 9 <u>10</u> 11 12 13 14 <u>15</u> 16 <u>17</u> <u>18</u> <u> 19</u> <u> 20</u> 21 23 Deleted: <OBJECT> **Alan Lloyd Kessler** 2725 SE 36th Ave. 5 - FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Portland, OR 97202 ak@alankessler.law (503) 860-1020

Deleted: <OBJECT><OBJECT><OBJECT> <OBJECT> 18. 2 For example, on July 7, 2023, Klein posted the following image and text on the 3 social media site nextdoor.com: The shelter had my original post removed because they do not want you to know and they do not want you to 5 react. This is only ONE of the dogs euthanized this week with no bite history. 6 Original post: TOMORROW THIS POODLE WILL BE **EUTHANIZED** Multnomah county animal shelter claims to be a no kill shelter. This poodle has never bitten another dog or person. He is being euthanized for Having a reaction to a small dog through a closed window. No contact was made. 9 <u>10</u> 13 14 <u>15</u> Cloud Animal ID: 292305 16 17 Details 19. Deleted: <u>18</u> Klein's post was removed at least once by nextdoor.com because, upon <u> 19</u> information and belief, defendant County requested the social media site to censor her <u> 20</u> statements. 21 20. Deleted: she On July 8, 2023 Klein posted an update, writing: 23 Deleted: <OBJECT> Alan Lloyd Kessler 2725 SE 36th Ave. 6 - FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Portland, OR 97202 ak@alankessler.law (503) 860-1020

Deleted: <OBJECT><OBJECT><OBJECT> <OBJECT> "Update, cloud was euthanized. I pleaded they at least wait until the director has responded to our emails. They said 'nope' very heartlessly. 2 This is Andrew and Erin at the MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHELTER." (emphasis original) 3 21. The way in which the County operates its shelter, the transparency it provides to 5 the public about its operations, and the degree to which animals in the care of MCAS 6 are treated humanely are matters of substantial public concern. This is evidenced, for example, in the large amount of support and attention Klein's posts received. 8 9 On July 11, Defendant Cannell emailed Klein to terminate her service at MCAS. <u>10</u> Cannell wrote in a letter; Deleted: (attached hereto as Exhibit A): 11 "Due to your actions occurring over the weekend of July 8-9 that were 12 in violation of the Volunteer Agreement, we are writing to inform you that we are permanently terminating your volunteer service at MCAS, 13 in person or remotely, effective immediately." 14 23. <u>15</u> Cannell's email to Klein included several paragraphs of text, which Cannell Deleted: as 16 identified as excerpts from MCAS's volunteer handbook. The quoted text purports to <u>17</u> require volunteers to "adher[e] to, and show[] support of, the policies and directives of <u>18</u> MCAS staff...." <u> 19</u> 24. <u> 20</u> Moreover, the text quoted in Defendant Cannell's letter purports to prohibit <u>21</u> volunteers from "...solicit[ing] changes to determined dispositions or individual outcomes without express approval from MCAS, including seeking rescue for animals 23 Deleted: <OBJECT> Alan Lloyd Kessler 2725 SE 36th Ave. 7 - FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Portland, OR 97202 ak@alankessler.law (503) 860-1020

Deleted: <OBJECT><OBJECT><OBJECT> <OBJECT> 1 on behalf of MCAS, seeking adoption placement for animals that are not available for 2 adoption, or otherwise disregarding the disposition determination process in place at 3 MCAS." 25. 5 In short, each of the County—through Cannell as its agent—and Cannell, as an 6 individual, expressly terminated Klein's volunteer service because of and in retaliation 7 for her public statements regarding Cloud and the County's euthanasia and animal 8 care practices. 9 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF UNIFORM DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACT <u>10</u> (Oregon Constitution - Article 1, Section 8) 11 26. 12 Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in the above paragraphs of this 13 Complaint as if fully set forth herein. 14 27. <u>15</u> Defendants' Volunteer Agreement violates Article 1, Section 8 of the Oregon 16 Constitution because it imposes impermissible restrictions on the content of <u>17</u> volunteers' expression. <u>18</u> 28. <u> 19</u> Defendants' termination of Plaintiff's volunteer service violated Article 1, <u> 20</u> Section 8 of the Oregon Constitution because it was based on the application of a 21 policy that explicitly regulates expression based on content. 23 Deleted: <OBJECT> Alan Lloyd Kessler 2725 SE 36th Ave. 8 - FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Portland, OR 97202 ak@alankessler.law (503) 860-1020

Ì	▼	Deleted: <object><object><object></object></object></object>
<u>1</u>	29.	
<u>2</u>	Defendants' ban on Plaintiff's future volunteer service violates Article 1, Section 8	
<u>3</u>	of the Oregon Constitution because it punishes Plaintiff for her lawful expressive	
<u>4</u>	activities, which were related to topics of public interest, and because it is intended to	
<u>5</u>	and/or is likely to chill the free expression of other similarly situated volunteers.	
<u>6</u>	30.	
<u>7</u>	Defendants' termination of Plaintiff's volunteer service was unlawful because it	
<u>8</u>	was based on the application of a policy that explicitly regulates expression based on its	
9	content	Deleted:
<u>10</u>	<u>31.</u>	
<u>11</u>	Defendants' termination of Klein's volunteer service was unlawful because it was	
<u>12</u>	motivated by the content of her social media posts.	
13		
14	32.	
<u>15</u>	Defendants' interference with Plaintiff's nextdoor.com posts violated Article 1,	
<u>16</u>	Section 8 of the Oregon Constitution because it suppressed Plaintiff's written	
<u>17</u>	expression based on its content.	
<u>18</u>	33.	Deleted: ¶
<u>19</u>	Defendants' policy that volunteers must receive "express approval" before	
<u>20</u>	"solicit[ing] changes to determined dispositions or individual outcomes" is a prior	
<u>21</u>	restraint on expression in violation of Article I, Section 8 of the Oregon Constitution.	
22		
23	Alan Lloyd Kessler	Deleted: <0BJECT>
	9 - FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 2725 SE 36th Ave. Portland, OR 97202 ak@alankessler.law (503) 860-1020	

2 Plaintiff is entitled to declaratory relief as to the invalidity of the provisions of law, 3 code, and policy Defendants relied upon in taking the unlawful actions described 4 above. 35. 6 Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief requiring Defendants to reinstate her as a volunteer. PRAYER FOR RELIEF 9 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows: <u>10</u> a) For reinstatement of Plaintiff's volunteer service; b) For permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants from retaliating against <u>Plaintiff or other volunteers for opposing Defendant's policies or practices;</u> 13 c) For a declaration that MCAS's volunteer agreement or specific portions thereof are invalid; 15 d) For a declaration that the laws, rules, and policies relied on by Defendants in 16 conducting the actions described above are invalid; 17 e) For Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees and costs <u>pursuant to:</u> <u> 18</u> 1) The public benefit doctrine, exemplified by Deras v. Myers, 272 Or 47, 19 66-67, 535 P2d 541 (1975), Leppanen v. Lane Transit District, 181 Or <u> 20</u> App 136, 149, 45 P3d 501 (2002), Swett v. Bradbury, 335 Or 378, 67 P3d <u>21</u> 391 (2003), and Kerr v. Bradbury, 194 Or App 133, 93 P3d 841 (2004);

Deleted: <OBJECT><OBJECT><OBJECT> <OBJECT>

Moved down [1]: ¶

Plaintiff

Deleted: SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF 42 U.S.C. §1983

(First Amendment Retaliation)

Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in the above

related to MCAS' euthanasia practices and MCAS' animal care practices, each of which is a topic of public concern. As such, each of the posts was a constitutionally protected expression under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Defendants' termination of Klein's volunteer service was motivated by the content of her social media posts.

with knowledge of, and in retaliation for, Klein's protected expressive activities.

Defendant Cannell acted to violate Klein's rights both individually and on the behalf of Defendant County; her decision to end Klein's volunteer service were made in accordance with the County's official policies, in accordance with the County's widespread practices or customs, and/or in her capacity as an official with final

Defendants' actions to terminate Plaintiff's volunteer service chilled Plaintiff's expressive activity and is likely to have chilled other volunteers' expressive activities. Defendants' actions would chill a person of ordinary

Deleted: is entitled injunctive relief requiring Defendants to reinstate her as a volunteer.

As a result of Defendants' behavior alleged above, Plaintiff is entitled to recover economic, non-economic, and/or nominal damages as well as her reasonable costs and attorney's fees. .. [1]

Formatted: Font: Equity Caps, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Equity Caps

Deleted: who have opposed unlawful behavior

Deleted: <#>For actual damages including economic and non-economic losses caused by Defendants' illegal retaliation, in amounts to be determined by the Court; For nominal damages in the amount of \$1 from each

Deleted: < OBJECT>

Alan Lloyd Kessler 2725 SE 36th Ave. Portland, OR 97202 ak@alankessler.law (503) 860-1020

10 -FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

23

and/or

paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiff's social media posts expressed her opinions

Defendant Cannell acted to end Klein's volunteer service

policy-making authority.

firmness from continuing to engage in expressive activity.

Defendant;¶

